1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA * * * 6 7 MARGARET ANN COLEMAN, Case No. 2:15-CV-121 JCM (GWF) 8 Plaintiff(s), ORDER 9 v. 10 NEVADA STATE, et al., 11 Defendant(s). 12 13 Presently before the court are the report and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Foley. 14 (Doc. # 3). No objections were filed, and the deadline for filing objections has passed. 15 Magistrate Judge Foley recommended that plaintiff's amended complaint (doc. # 2) be 16 dismissed with prejudice. 17 This court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or 18 recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects 19 to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a de 20 novo determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is 21 made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). 22 Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at 23 all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 24 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a 25 magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See United 26 States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review 27 employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no

objections were made); see also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F.Supp.2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003)

28

Case 2:15-cv-00121-JCM-GWF Document 5 Filed 08/10/15 Page 2 of 2

1 (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Reyna–Tapia* as adopting the view that district courts are 2 not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no 3 objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then this court may accept the recommendation without review. See, e.g., Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a 4 5 magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed). 6 Nevertheless, this court finds it appropriate to engage in a de novo review to determine 7 whether to adopt the recommendation of the magistrate judge. Upon reviewing the 8 recommendation and underlying briefs, this court finds good cause appears to ADOPT the 9 magistrate judge's findings in full. 10 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the report and 11 12 recommendation of Magistrate Judge Foley, (doc. # 3), are ADOPTED in their entirety.

DATED August 10, 2015.

JNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

16

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge